## EPA's New Accountability Measure for TMDLs and TMDL Alternatives

## Why now?

Most states have completed their TMDL lawsuit/settlement agreement requirements. EPA is accountable to OMB and Congress to show that the Clean Water Act is being implemented. States are willing to be accountable but need some flexibility. No one liked the old pace measure (certain number of TMDLs produced in a specific amount of time). States and EPA worked on this together.

## What is it?

States will designate priority areas in which they will work to produce TMDLs and/or TMDL alternatives. Although EPA will ask for progress updates annually, the grand assessment of accomplishments will occur in 2022. The goal is to have "plans in place" for all priority waters by 2022.

EPA will assess progress using two measures:

WQ 27 – tracks "plans in place" to address the long-term priorities of states. WQ 28 – tracks "plans in place" and progress towards "plans in place" within and outside of priorities.

Two things to note about the measures are that they overlap and that they are only designed to measure plans, not implementation. The TMDL alternatives that EPA has in mind include placement into Category 4b and placement into some other categories that Washington doesn't use, such as 5m (which some states use for mercury listings) and 5alt (which we may be able to use for our STI projects when the workplan is completed and approved but implementation has not proceeded far enough to result in placement into Category 4b).

## What do states have to do?

States must designate their priority areas and describe what work has already been done in those areas to establish a baseline from which EPA will measure future accomplishments.

As part of the TMDL workload assessment that we do each time a new Water Quality Assessment is issued, the regions have also proposed the projects they expect to complete by 2022. In general, these are projects that are already underway, since 2022 is not that far off, and any projects not started at this time have less chance of being completed by then. We have assumed that these projects define our priority areas at the present time.

PMT should discuss the list of projects and decide whether they agree that these define our priority areas for the EPA accountability measures.

**Proposed priorities** 

	d priorities	D	ш	Cı	C 1 .:	D
Regio	Project name	Parameter	#	Star	Completio	Progress to
n			Bean	t	n date	date
			S	date		
SWR	Deschutes River	bacteria,	73	200	2016 <u>EPA</u>	TMDL
O	Multiparameter	pH, DO,		3	<u>should</u>	<del>drafted, public</del>
	TMDL	temp, fine			enter the	comment
		sediment			<u>date</u>	<del>period ended,</del>
						responding to
						<del>comments and</del>
						finalizing to
						<del>submit to</del>
						<b>EPA</b> Ecology
						completed the
						TMDL and
						submitted to
						EPA for
						approval in
						2015
	Lower white	рН	3	199	<del>2017</del> EPA	Data collected,
	River pH TMDL	•		0	and	modeling in
	•				Ecology	progress
					should	
					jointly	
					propose a	
					date	
	Cranberry, Johns,	temperatur	<del>14</del>	<del>200</del>	<del>2017</del>	Modeling
	and Mill Creeks	e		8		done, writing
	temperature					<del>draft for</del>
	TMDL					<del>public</del>
						comment
	Burnt Bridge	bacteria,	20	200	202018	Data collected,
	Creek Watershed	pH,		8	_	modeling <del>on</del>
	Multiparameter	temperatur				hold—waiting
	TMDL	e, DO				for new EAP
			†			<del>staff</del> resumes
						after EF Lewis
						project is
						completed.
	East Fork Lewis	temperatur	34	200	2019	Data collected
	River Watershed	e, bacteria		5		(with follow-
	Multiparameter	c, cacteria				up bacteria
	TMDL		<del> </del>			sampling),
t	TIVIDE	1	1	1		bumping),

Commented [KA(1]: The three-party work group drafting the TMDL (EPA, Ecology, and the Muckleshoot Indian Tribe) has been unable to make timely progress on this TMDL. Ecology and EPA will need to coordinate their efforts to meet any deadline.

**Commented [KA(2]:** Ecology continues to pursue this project as a lower priority. Given our focus on nonpoint implementation, preparation of this plan has slipped on the schedule.

Commented [KA(3]: This project is now a TMDL Alternative

**Commented [KA(4]:** This project is now a TMDL Alternative

Regio	Project name	Parameter	#	Star	Completio	Progress to
n			Bean	t	n date	date
			s	date		
						report writing
						in progress.
						modeling on
						hold - waiting
						for new EAP
						staff
	Lacamas Creek	temperatur	31	201	2020	<del>Data collected,</del>
	<b>Watershed</b>	e, DO,		0		modeling not
	Multiparameter	<del>bacteria,</del>				<del>started yet</del>
	TMDL	<del>pH</del>				
	Weaver Creek	bacteria	1	201	<del>2019</del>	Planning to do
				6		<del>an</del>
						implementatio
						<del>n project</del>

**Commented [KA(5]:** Lacamas Creek is still in the queue, but is behind at least two other projects. Given slips in schedules, we can no longer be assured of completing this project by the WQ 27 schedule.

Commented [KA(6]: The Weaver Creek project is a focused implementation effort, and is a component of the Skokomish bacteria TMDL. As a stand-alone effort, it does not meet all of EPA's elements of an "alternative restoration approach". It is an important piece of protection shellfish harvesting and implementing a TMDL, but is not a good fit for this exercise.

Region	Project name	Parameter	#	Start	Completion	Progress to date
Ü	,		Beans	date	date	Ü
NWRO	Padilla Bay Fecal	bacteria	9	2015	<del>2017</del> 2019	Just starting,
	Coliform TMDL					have contacted
						stakeholders Field
						work completed,
						EAP doing data
						QA, modeling,
						drafting tech rpt
	Sammamish River	temperature,	10	2015	20 <u>20</u> 18	Collecting
	Temperature	DO				<del>data</del> Awaiting
	TMDL/DO					modeler & info
	TMDL					on nat. cond.
						changes. Current
						completion date
						assumes 1.5 years
						to complete
						modeling and
						groundwater_
						analysis with FY
	Soos Creek	temperature,	19	2014	202216	19 start date.  Data collected,
	Temperature, DO,	DO,	19	2014	20 <u>22</u> +0	developing
	Aquatic Habitat	biological				modelQAPP
	TMDL	indicators				revision by
	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	marcators				winter 2017,
						adding HSPF
						modeling for
						pilot
						bioassessment
						work.
	Green-Duwamish	multiple	350	?	?	Data collected,
	Toxics Loading	toxic				developing
	Assessment	pollutants				model <u>Modeler</u>
						hired fall 2017.
						Need to work
						with EPA to
						determine if this
						should stay in WQ27.
	Newaukum Creek	<del>bacteria</del>	7	<del>2015</del>	<del>2016</del>	TMDL drafted
	Fecal Coliform					<u>but needs</u>
	TMDL					

Formatted: Highlight

					technical	_
Soos Creek Fecal	bacteria	12	2015	2016		to
Coliform TMDL	<del>Dacteria</del>	12	<del>2013</del>	<del>2010</del>	but is getting old.	re
French/Pilchuck	tomamoustums	<del>37</del> ?	2016	20100	Data	to
Temperature and	temperature,	<del>37</del> <u>:</u>	2016	201 <u>9</u> 9	collected Draft	re
DO TMDL	DO				technical report	Fo
DO TWIDE					7/2017,	
					correcting Tetra	
					Tech errors fall	
					2017, anticipate	
					advisory	
					committee will	
E 1.C 1	T /DO	2		2020	start in 2018.	
French Creek	Temp/DO	?		<u>2020</u>	Data collected,	
Temperature and					modeling starts	
DO TMDL					fall 2017. In	
					extended project	
					planning fall	
					<u>2017 due to</u>	
					<u>natural</u>	
					conditions.	
					Anticipate	
					completing a	
					TMDL at this	
					time, but TMDL	
					alternative is	
- 1					possible.	
Lake Loma STI	phosphorus	1	2014	<del>2015</del>	Being	
					<del>implemented,</del>	
					STI workplan in	
					1 0	C
Minter Creek	<del>bacteria</del>	4	<del>2016</del>	<del>2017</del>	Planning {	C
Fecal Coliform						
STI						
Big Beef	temperature,	5	2017	<del>2019</del>	Planning	
<del>Temperature/DO</del>	<del>DO</del>					
TMDL						
<del>Tahuya River</del>	temperature,	4	<del>2018</del>	<del>2020</del>	Planning	
Temperature/DO	DO					
TMDL						
<del>Lower Skagit</del>	<del>pH, DO</del>	24	2020	<del>2022</del>	Planning	
pH/DO TMDL					_	

**Commented [BH(7]:** Remove from list due to lack of resources to properly complete the work before anticipated change in recreational criteria.

**Commented [BH(8]:** Remove from list due to lack of resources to properly complete the work before anticipated change in recreational criteria.

Formatted: Highlight

Commented [BH(9]: Inadequate resources to complete.

Commented [BH(10]:

Region	Project name	Parameter	#	Start	Completion	Progress to date	
			Beans	date	date		
NWRO	South Whidbey	<del>bacteria,</del>	24	2018	2021	Planning	Commented [BH(11]: Inadequate resources to complete.
	Island Fecal	<del>DO, pH</del>					Investigating local effort to complete through 4B process. Also need to verify accuracy of many of the Category 5 listings.
	Coliform/DO/pH	-					need to verify decardey of many of the editegory 3 listings.
	TMDL						
	Quilceda/Allen	DO, pH	9	2019	2022	Planning	Commented [BH(12]: Inadequate resources to complete.
	<del>DO/pH TMDL</del>	_					Stakeholder support not documented.
	<del>Juanita Creek</del>	<del>bacteria</del>	11	2016	<del>2018</del>	Planning	Commented [BH(13]: Inadequate resources to complete.
	Fecal Coliform					0,	
	TMDL						
	South Lake	<del>bacteria</del>	4	<del>2018</del>	2020	Planning	Commented [BH(14]: Inadequate resources to complete.
	Sammamish						
	Tributaries Fecal						
	Coliform TMDL						
	Sammamish River	<del>bacteria</del>	<del>19</del>	2020	<del>2022</del>	Planning	Commented [BH(15]: Inadequate resources to complete.
	and Tributaries					01	
	Fecal Coliform						
	TMDL						
	Lake Ketchum STI	phosphorus	1	<del>2016</del>	<del>2017</del>	Planning	Commented [BH(16]: Inadequate resources to complete.
	Scriber Lake STI	phosphorus	1	2017	2018	Planning	Implementation already under way by Snohomish County.
	Sunday Lake STI	phosphorus	1	2019	2020	Planning	Commented [BH(17]: Inadequate resources to complete.
	Lake Union STI	phosphorus	1	<del>2020</del>	<del>2022</del>	Planning	Commented [BH(18]: Inadequate resources to complete.
1	1		1	1		0	Commented [BH(19]: Inadequate resources to complete.

Region	Project name	Parameter	# Beans	Start date	Completion date	Progress to date		
ERO	Spokane	PCB; 2,3,7,8-	24	2012	2027	Task force		
	River	TCDD;				identifying		
	Regional	2.3.7.8-				sources, fate,		
	Toxics	TCDD TEQ				and transport		
	Task					of PCBs;		
	Force					performing		
						data		
						collection;		
						implementing		
						PCB removal		
						and source		
	Little	DO, pH,	35	2012	20172010	control BMPs		P
		•	33	2012	<del>2017</del> 2018	Model built,	 	Formatted: Highlight
	Spokane River	temperature				doing additional		
	DO/pH					data collection		
	TMDL					data conection		
	South	temperature,	34	2006	<del>2018</del> 2020	Data collected,		
	Fork	DO, pH	34	2006	<del>2010</del> 2020	model run,		
	Palouse	DO, pm				may need		
	Multi-					UAA		
	parameter					Om		
	TMDL							
	Hangman	DO, pH,	21	201 <u>5</u> 7	2020	Data gathered,	 	Commented [BH(20]: Comment from Dave Knight: 2016? It
	Creek	temperature				model has		isn't currently a TMDL. EAP and WQ re-scoped the project b/c it was too big. We settled on 3 individual projects that may someday
	DO/pH					been run,		be a part of a TMDL. How should we record this development for
	TMDL					policy issues		WQ 27? Take it off the list?
						related to		
						intermittent		
						streams		
						delaying		
						project		
	<del>Pataha</del>	<del>bacteria,</del>	<del>15</del>	<del>2017</del>	<del>2022</del>	<del>Planning</del>	 	<b>Commented [BH(21]:</b> Comment from Dave Knight: Was not staffed in last year's planning process. If we re-propose this year, it
	Creek	DO, pH						would not start until 2018 (simple) or 2109 (complex).
	TMDL							
	Pend	temperature	24	2004	<mark>2018</mark>	Waiting for	 	Formatted: Highlight
	Oreille					EPA approval		
	River							

Region	Project name	Parameter	# Beans	Start date	Completion date	Progress to date
CRO	Mid-Yakima Basin Temperature TMDL	temperature	25	?	2016	TMDL drafted for public review
	Upper Yakima Tributaries Temperature TMDL	temperature	32	2013	2016 Approved by EPA, December 2016	Data collected, found some monitoring issues, also water right and fish passage issues, TMDL being drafted
	Tieton and Lower Naches Temperature TMDL	temperature	<del>12</del>	?	2018	Monitoring just started
	Wide Hollow Creek Multi- parameter Temperature TMDL	temperature	3	?	202 <del>0</del> 2	Monitoring complete, social/political issues, may need UAA
	Wide Hollow Creek DO/pH	DO, pH	4	?	2020	Monitoring complete, social/political issues, may need UAA
	Cowiche Creek DO/pH TMDL	<del>DO, pH</del>	5	2018	2020	Re-modeling using different shade model
	Lower Yakima Basin Temperature TMDL	temperature	8	2014	2022	Planning. Existing data being collected and reviewed For model.

**Commented [PMF(22]:** This project was for tributaries and was broken apart and became separate water cleanup projects projects for Wide Hollow, Moxee drain.

**Commented [PMF(23]:** Project set aside at this time due to the lack of available resources and staff time. Monitoring will be captured an a technical report

**Commented [PMF(24]:** Awaiting technical report completion. This is now a multiparameter TMDL

**Commented [PMF(25]:** This project has been combined with the temperature TMDL. There will likely be a need for recognizing natural conditions

**Commented [PMF(26]:** Insufficient resources available to begin this TMDL

Region	Project name	Parameter	#	Start	Completion	Progress to date		
			Beans	date	date			
CRO	Lower Yakima Basin DO/pH	<del>DO, pH</del>	40	?	2022	Planning	 	<b>Commented [PMF(27]:</b> This project for lower Yakima River tributaries will not proceed a tthis time, lack of available resources and staff time
	TMDL							
	Okanogan River Basin pH TMDL	<del>pH</del>	<del>20</del>	?	2019	Reviewing data that resulted in original listings		Commented [PMF(28]: 2016 report on pH listings show a
	Moxee	temperature	11	2	<del>2016</del>	Working with		TMDL should be a low priority
	<del>Drain</del>	temperature			2010	irrigators to		
	Temperature					implement		
	STI					BMPs, no		
						<del>workplan</del>	 	Commented [PMF(29]: This project is a non-TMDL project and
	Myron Lake	ammonia	1	?	<del>2016</del>	Resampling to		is continueing to develop
	<del>Ammonia</del>					<del>verify</del>		
	<b>Verification</b>					impairment	 	<b>Commented [PMF(30]:</b> This project is a non-TMDL project and is continueing to develop
	<del>Study</del>							is continueing to develop
	<del>Swale Creek</del>	temperature	3	?	<del>2016</del>	May be a natural		
	<del>Temperature</del>					condition	 	Commented [PMF(31]: Rock and Swale Creeks have been lowered in priority in the region, and pulled from active projects
	Rock Creek	<del>Temperature,</del>	7	?	<del>2016</del>	<del>BMPs</del>		nowered in priority in the region, and pulled from active projects
	<del>Temperature</del>	<del>DO</del>				<del>implemented,</del>		
	and DO					may be a natural		
						condition	 	<b>Commented [PMF(32]:</b> Rock and Swale Creeks have been lowered in priority in the region, and pulled from active projects

Region	Project name	Parameter	# Beans	Start date	Completion date	Progress to date
BFO	South Fork Nooksack Temperature TMDL	temperature	22	2012	<mark>2018</mark> €	Modeling complete, TMDL being drafted, natural conditions issue
	Drayton Harbor Bacteria TMDL	bacteria	17	2008	<u>Dec.</u> 201 <u>8</u> 7	Data collected, modeling finished, may need to re- analyze new data
	Whatcom Creek Fecal Coliform TMDL	bacteria	8	2001	201 <u>9</u> 7	Data collected, Bellingham implementing
	Squalicum Creek Pilot TMDL	bacteria	6	2012	20 <u>23</u> <del>16</del>	Model being designed